	Case 2:24-cv-00995-DC-SCR Docum	nent 7	Filed 06/11/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	GARY SMITH,	1	No. 2:24-cv-0995-D	OC-SCR	
12	Plaintiff,				
13	V.	<u>I</u>	FINDINGS AND RI	ECOMMENDATIONS	
14	FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION,				
15	Defendant.				
16					
17	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se in this action, which was referred to a magistrate judge				
18	pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21). On February 6, 2025, this Court granted Plaintiff's motion for				
19	leave to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") but found that the Complaint failed to state a claim				
20	under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"). ECF No. 6 at 1, 6. Plaintiff was ordered to file				
21	a First Amended Complaint within 30 days of the date of that order, and was admonished that				
22	failure to do so may result in the undersigned recommending that the action be dismissed. <i>Id.</i> at				
23	6. To date, Plaintiff has not amended his Complaint.				
24	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice.				
25	As explained in the Court's earlier screening order, ECF No. 6, the Complaint fails to state a				
26	claim on which relief can be granted and is accordingly subject to dismissal under the IFP statute.				
27	See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). Dismissal is also warranted for Plaintiff's failure to follow the Court's				
28	order requiring the filing of an amended complaint and failure to prosecute this case. See Fed. R.				
		1			

	Case 2:24-cv-00995-DC-SCR				
1	Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 110.				
2	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge				
3	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days				
4	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections				
5	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings				
6	and Recommendations." The plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified				
7	time may result in waiver of the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951				
8	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).				
9	DATED: June 10, 2025				
10	Sun Kindu				
11	SEAN C. RIORDAN				
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26 27					
27					
40					